Linear representations of $SU(2)$ described by using Kravchuk polynomials

Nicolae Cotfas
University of Bucharest, Faculty of Physics,
*P.O. Box MG-11, 077125 Bucharest, Romania**

We show that a new unitary transform with characteristics almost similar to those of the finite Fourier transform can be defined in any finite-dimensional Hilbert space. It is defined by using the Kravchuk polynomials, and we call it Kravchuk transform. Some of its properties are investigated and used in order to obtain a simple alternative description for the irreducible representations of the Lie algebra $su(2)$ and group $SU(2)$. Our approach offers a deeper insight into the structure of the linear representations of $SU(2)$ and new possibilities of computation, very useful in applications in quantum mechanics, quantum information, signal and image processing.

arXiv:1601.06424v6 [math-ph] 17 Feb 2016

INTRODUCTION

The Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^d$, of dimension $d=2j+1$, can be regarded as the space of all the functions of the form

$$\psi:\{-j,-j+1,\ldots,j-1,j\}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C},$$

considered with the scalar product defined as

$$\langle\varphi,\psi\rangle=\sum_{n=-j}^{j}\overline{\varphi(n)}\,\psi(n). \tag{1}$$

The finite Fourier transform $F:\mathbb{C}^d\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^d:\psi\mapsto F[\psi]$,

$$F[\psi](k)=\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\sum_{n=-j}^{j}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{d}kn}\,\psi(n), \tag{2}$$

plays a fundamental role in quantum mechanics, signal and image processing. Its inverse is the adjoint transform

$$F^{+}[\psi](k)=\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\sum_{n=-j}^{j}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{d}kn}\,\psi(n), \tag{3}$$

and $F^4=\mathbb{I}$, where $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity operator $\mathbb{I}\psi=\psi$. In the case of a quantum system with Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^d$, the self-adjoint operator $Q:\mathbb{C}^d\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^d:\psi\mapsto Q\psi$,

$$(Q\psi)(n)=n\,\psi(n), \tag{4}$$

is usually regarded as a coordinate operator and

$$P=F^{+}QF \tag{5}$$

as a momentum operator [4, 5, 12, 15].

We show that a new remarkable unitary transform

$$K:\mathbb{C}^d\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^d \tag{6}$$

can be defined by using the Kravchuk polynomials. Our transform satisfies the unexpected relation $K^3=\mathbb{I}$, and

$$-\mathrm{i}\,Q,\qquad -\mathrm{i}\,K^{+}QK,\qquad -\mathrm{i}\,KQK^{+}$$

form a basis of a Lie algebra isomorphic to $su(2)$. This allows us to obtain a new description for the linear representations of $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$. In terms of Kravchuk functions, the matrix elements of the irreducible representations have simpler mathematical expressions.

In conclusion, we define a new unitary transform K, similar to the finite Fourier transform F. We have $F^4=\mathbb{I}$, respectively $K^3=\mathbb{I}$, and in both cases, the definitions of the direct and inverse transforms are almost identical. The new transform K allows a new description of the linear representations of su(2), SU(2), SO(3), and new developments in all the mathematical models based on these representations. New models in physics, quantum information, quantum finance [3], signal and image processing can be obtained by using K instead of F.

KRAVCHUK POLYNOMIALS

The functions

$$K_{-j},\,K_{-j+1},\ldots,K_{j-1},K_j:\{-j,-j+1,\ldots,j-1,j\}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$$

satisfying the polynomial relation [14]

$$(1-X)^{j+k}(1+X)^{j-k}=\sum_{m=-j}^{j}K_m(k)\,X^{j+m} \tag{7}$$

are called *Kravchuk polynomials*. The first three of them are: $K_{-j}(k)=1$, $K_{-j+1}(k)=-2k$, $K_{-j+2}(k)=2k^2-j$.

By admitting that

$$\tfrac{1}{\Gamma(n)}=0\qquad\text{for}\quad n\in\{0,-1,-2,\ldots\}$$

and using the binomial coefficients

$$C_m^n=\tfrac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\,\Gamma(m-n+1)}=\begin{cases}\frac{m!}{n!\,(m-n)!} & \text{for } n\in\{0,1,2,\ldots,m\},\\ 0 & \text{for } n\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0,1,2,\ldots,m\}.\end{cases} \tag{8}$$

the Kravchuk polynomials can be defined as

$$K_m(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{j+m}(-1)^n\,C_{j+k}^{n}\,C_{j-k}^{j+m-n}. \tag{9}$$

The hypergeometric function

$$ {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a,\, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k\,(b)_k}{(c)_k}\,\frac{z^k}{k!}, \tag{10} $$

where

$$ (\alpha)_k = \alpha(\alpha+1)...(\alpha+k-1) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, $$

satisfies the relation [10]

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -n,\, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) &= \tfrac{\Gamma(\gamma)\,\Gamma(\gamma-\beta+n)}{\Gamma(\gamma+n)\,\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)} \\ &\times {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -n,\, \beta \\ \beta-\gamma-n+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-z \right). \end{aligned} \tag{11} $$

Since $(-\alpha)_k = (-1)^k\,\Gamma(\alpha+1)/\Gamma(\alpha-k+1)$, the relation

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -j-m,\, -j-k \\ 1-k-m \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right) &= \tfrac{\Gamma(1-k-m)\,\Gamma(2j+1)}{\Gamma(j-k+1)\,\Gamma(j-m+1)} \\ &\times {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -j-m,\, -j-k \\ -2j \end{matrix} \middle| 2 \right) \end{aligned} $$

can be written as

$$ K_m(k) = C_{2j}^{j+m}\,{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -j-m,\, -j-k \\ -2j \end{matrix} \middle| 2 \right). \tag{12} $$

From the polynomial relation

$$ \begin{aligned} &\sum_{m,n=-j}^{j} \left(\tfrac{1}{2^{2j}} \sum_{k=-j}^{j} C_{2j}^{j+k}\,K_m(k)\,K_n(k) \right) X^{j+m}\,Y^{j+n} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2^{2j}} \sum_{k=-j}^{j} C_{2j}^{j+k} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} K_m(k)\,X^{j+m} \sum_{n=-j}^{j} K_n(k)\,Y^{j+n} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2^{2j}} \sum_{k=-j}^{j} C_{2j}^{j+k}\,(1-X)^{j+k}(1+X)^{j-k}(1-Y)^{j+k}(1+Y)^{j-k} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2^{2j}}[(1-X)(1-Y)+(1+X)(1+Y)]^{2j} = (1+XY)^{2j} \\ &= \sum_{m=-j}^{j} C_{2j}^{j+m} X^{j+m} Y^{j+m}. \end{aligned} $$

it follows the well-known relation [10, 14]

$$ \tfrac{1}{2^{2j}} \sum_{k=-j}^{j} C_{2j}^{j+k}\,K_m(k)\,K_n(k) = C_{2j}^{j+m}\,\delta_{mn}. \tag{13} $$

We extend the set $\{K_m\}_{m=-j}^{j}$ by admitting that

$$ K_m = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{-j, -j+1, ..., j-1, j\}. $$

With this convention, by differentiating (7) we get

$$ \begin{aligned} &(j+m+1)\,K_{m+1}(k) \\ &\quad +(j-m+1)\,K_{m-1}(k) = -2k\,K_m(k). \end{aligned} \tag{14} $$

For the half-integer powers we use the definiton

$$ z^k = |z|^k\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\arg(z)}. \tag{15} $$

Theorem 1. *Kravchuk polynomials satisfy the relation*

$$ \sum_{k=-j}^{j} (-\mathrm{i})^k\,K_m(k)\,K_k(n) = 2^j\,\mathrm{i}^{j+m}\,\mathrm{i}^{j+n}\,K_m(n) \tag{16} $$

for any $m, n \in \{-j, -j+1, ..., j-1, j\}$.

Proof. Direct consequence of the polynomial relation

$$ \begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=-j}^{j} \left(\sum_{k=-j}^{j} (-\mathrm{i})^{j+k} K_m(k)\,K_k(n) \right) X^{j+m} \\ &\quad = \sum_{k=-j}^{j} (-\mathrm{i})^{j+k}(1-X)^{j+k}(1+X)^{j-k}\,K_k(n) \\ &\quad = (1+X)^{2j} \sum_{k=-j}^{j} K_k(n) \left(\tfrac{X-1}{X+1}\mathrm{i} \right)^{j+k}. \\ &\quad = (1+X)^{2j} \left(1 - \tfrac{X-1}{X+1}\mathrm{i} \right)^{j+n} \left(1 + \tfrac{X-1}{X+1}\mathrm{i} \right)^{j-n} \\ &\quad = (1+\mathrm{i}+(1-\mathrm{i})X)^{j+n}\,(1-\mathrm{i}+(1+\mathrm{i})X)^{j-n} \\ &\quad = (1+\mathrm{i})^{j+n}(1-\mathrm{i})^{j-n}(1-\mathrm{i}X)^{j+n}(1+\mathrm{i}X)^{j-n} \\ &\quad = 2^j(-\mathrm{i})^j\,\mathrm{i}^{j+n} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} K_m(n)(\mathrm{i}X)^{j+m}. \qquad \square \end{aligned} $$

By using (12), the relation (16) can be written as

$$ \begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=-j}^{j} (-\mathrm{i})^k\,\tfrac{(2j)!}{(j+k)!\,(j-k)!}\,{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -j-m,\, -j-k \\ -2j \end{matrix} \middle| 2 \right) \\ &\qquad \times {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -j-k,\, -j-n \\ -2j \end{matrix} \middle| 2 \right) \\ &\quad = 2^j\,\mathrm{i}^{j+m}\,\mathrm{i}^{j+n}\,{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -j-m,\, -j-n \\ -2j \end{matrix} \middle| 2 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{17} $$

and is a special case for (12) from [6] and (5.5) from [8].

KRAVCHUK FUNCTIONS

The space $\mathbb{C}^d$ can be regarded as a subspace of the space of all the functions of the form $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by identifying it either with the space

$$ \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_d) = \{\ \psi : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \psi(n+d) = \psi(n) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}\ \} \tag{18} $$

of periodic functions of period d or with the space

$$ \ell^2[-j, j] = \{\ \psi : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \ \mid\ \psi(n) = 0 \ \text{ for } \ |n| > j\ \} \tag{19} $$

of all the functions null outside $\{-j, -j+1, \ldots, j-1, j\}$. The use of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_d)$ or $\ell^2[-j, j]$ allows us to define new mathematical objects and to obtain new results. The functions $\mathfrak{K}_m : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined as

$$ \mathfrak{K}_m(k) = \frac{1}{2^j} \sqrt{\frac{C_{2j}^{j+k}}{C_{2j}^{j+m}}}\,K_m(k) \tag{20} $$

can be expressed in terms of the hypergeometric function

$$\mathfrak{K}_m(k) = \frac{1}{2^j}\sqrt{C_{2j}^{j+m}\,C_{2j}^{j+k}}\;{}_2F_1\!\left(\begin{matrix}-j-m,\,-j-k\\ -2j\end{matrix}\middle|2\right), \quad (21)$$

belong to $\ell^2[-j,j]$, and satisfy the relations

$$\mathfrak{K}_m(n)=\mathfrak{K}_n(m), \qquad \mathfrak{K}_m(-n)=(-1)^{j+m}\mathfrak{K}_m(n).$$

The functions $\{\mathfrak{K}_m\}_{m=-j}^{j}$, called *Kravchuk functions*, form an orthonormal basis in $\ell^2[-j,j]$, that is, we have

$$\langle\mathfrak{K}_m|\mathfrak{K}_n\rangle = \delta_{mn}, \qquad \sum_{m=-j}^{j}|\mathfrak{K}_m\rangle\langle\mathfrak{K}_m| = \mathbb{I}. \quad (22)$$

From (14) we get the relation

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)}\;\mathfrak{K}_{m+1}(k)\\ &\quad+\sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)}\;\mathfrak{K}_{m-1}(k)=-2k\,\mathfrak{K}_m(k)\end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and its direct consequence

$$-2\sum_{k=-j}^{j}k\,\mathfrak{K}_m(k)\,\mathfrak{K}_n(k)=\begin{cases}\sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)} & \text{for } n=m-1\\ \sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)} & \text{for } n=m+1\\ 0 & \text{for } n\neq m\pm1.\end{cases} \quad (24)$$

The relation (23) can also be written in the form [1, 2, 14]

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)}\mathfrak{K}_k(m+1)\\ &\quad+\sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)}\mathfrak{K}_k(m-1)=-2k\,\mathfrak{K}_k(m).\end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Theorem 2. *The Kravchuk functions satisfy the relation*

$$\sum_{k=-j}^{j}(-\mathrm{i})^k\,\mathfrak{K}_m(k)\,\mathfrak{K}_k(n) = \mathrm{i}^{j+m}\,\mathrm{i}^{j+n}\,\mathfrak{K}_m(n) \quad (26)$$

for any $m,n\in\{-j,-j+1,...,j-1,j\}$.

Proof. Direct consequence of (16) and (20). □

KRAVCHUK TRANSFORM

The functions $\{\delta_m\}_{m=-j}^{j}$, defined by the relation

$$\delta_m:\mathbb{Z}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}, \qquad \delta_m(k)=\delta_{km}=\begin{cases}1 & \text{for } k=m,\\ 0 & \text{for } k\neq m,\end{cases} \quad (27)$$

form an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^d$. With the traditional notation $|j;m\rangle$ instead of δ_m, we have

$$\langle j;m|j;n\rangle=\delta_{mn} \quad\text{and}\quad \sum_{m=-j}^{j}|j;m\rangle\langle j;m|=\mathbb{I}. \quad (28)$$

The transform $K:\ell^2[-j,j]\longrightarrow\ell^2[-j,j]$,

$$K=(-1)^{2j}\sum_{n,m=-j}^{j}\mathrm{i}^n\,\mathfrak{K}_{-n}(m)\;|j;n\rangle\langle j;m|, \quad (29)$$

we call *Kravchuk transform*, is a unitary operator. The direct and inverse transforms are quite identical:

$$\begin{aligned}K[\psi](n) &= (-1)^{2j}\sum_{m=-j}^{j}\mathrm{i}^n\,(-1)^{j+m}\;\mathfrak{K}_n(m)\,\psi(m)\\ K^+[\psi](n)&=(-1)^{2j}\sum_{m=-j}^{j}(-\mathrm{i})^m\,(-1)^{j+n}\mathfrak{K}_n(m)\,\psi(m).\end{aligned}$$

The operator $Q:\ell^2[-j,j]\to\ell^2[-j,j]$, $(Q\psi)(n)=n\,\psi(n)$, admitting the spectral decomposition

$$Q=\sum_{n=-j}^{j}n\;|j;n\rangle\langle j;n|, \quad (30)$$

can be regarded as a coordinate operator in $\ell^2[-j,j]$.

Theorem 3. *Kravchuk transform satisfies the relations*

$$K^3=\mathbb{I} \quad (31)$$

$$K^+QK^+QK^+-KQKQK=\mathrm{i}\,Q. \quad (32)$$

Proof. A consequence of the equality (26) is the relation

$$\begin{aligned}K^2&=\sum_{n,m=-j}^{j}\sum_{k=-j}^{j}\mathrm{i}^{m+k}\,\mathfrak{K}_{-m}(k)\,\mathfrak{K}_{-k}(n)\,|j;m\rangle\langle j;n|\\ &=\sum_{n,m=-j}^{j}(-1)^{j+n}\,\mathrm{i}^{m-j}(-\mathrm{i})^j\sum_{k=-j}^{j}(-\mathrm{i})^k\mathfrak{K}_m(k)\,\mathfrak{K}_k(n)\,|j;m\rangle\langle j;n|\\ &=\sum_{n,m=-j}^{j}(-1)^{j+m+n}\,\mathrm{i}^{j+n}(-\mathrm{i})^j\;\mathfrak{K}_m(n)\,|j;m\rangle\langle j;n|\\ &=\sum_{n,m=-j}^{j}(-1)^n\,\mathrm{i}^{j+n}(-\mathrm{i})^j\,\mathfrak{K}_{-n}(m)\,|j;m\rangle\langle j;n|=K^+\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $K^3=\mathbb{I}$. From (24) and

$$\begin{aligned}QK^+QK|j;m\rangle &= \sum_{n=-j}^{j}(-1)^{m+n}n\\ &\qquad\times\sum_{k=-j}^{j}k\,\mathfrak{K}_m(k)\,\mathfrak{K}_n(k)\,|j;n\rangle\\ K^+QKQ|j;m\rangle &= \sum_{n=-j}^{j}(-1)^{m+n}m\\ &\qquad\times\sum_{k=-j}^{j}k\,\mathfrak{K}_m(k)\,\mathfrak{K}_n(k)\,|j;n\rangle\\ KQK^+|j;m\rangle &= \sum_{n=-j}^{j}(-\mathrm{i})^m\,\mathrm{i}^n\\ &\qquad\times\sum_{k=-j}^{j}k\,\mathfrak{K}_m(k)\,\mathfrak{K}_n(k)\,|j;n\rangle\end{aligned}$$

it follows the relation

$$QK^+QK-K^+QKQ=\mathrm{i}\,KQK^+$$

equivalent to (32). □

THE IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF THE LIE ALGEBRA $su(2)$ AND GROUP $SU(2)$

Theorem 4. *The self-adjoint operators*

$$J_z = Q, \qquad J_x = K^+QK, \qquad J_y = KQK^+ \tag{33}$$

satisfy the relations

$$[J_x, J_y] = \mathrm{i}J_z, \quad [J_y, J_z] = \mathrm{i}J_x, \quad [J_z, J_x] = \mathrm{i}J_y \tag{34}$$

and define a linear representation of $su(2)$ in $\ell^2[-j, j]$.

Proof. Each of the relations (34) is equivalent to (32). □

The operators $J_\pm = J_x \pm \mathrm{i}\, J_y$ verify the relations

$$[J_z, J_\pm] = \pm J_\pm, \qquad [J_-, J_+] = -2J_z, \tag{35}$$

and, by using (24), one can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &J_z|j;m\rangle = m\,|j;m\rangle \\ &J_+|j;m\rangle = \sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)}\;|j;m+1\rangle \\ &J_-|j;m\rangle = \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)}\;|j;m-1\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

In certain cases, it is useful to describe the structure of J_z, J_x, J_y by using only two operators. Beside

$$J_z, \quad J_x = \frac{1}{2}(J_+ + J_+^+), \quad J_y = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}}(J_+ - J_+^+)$$

we have now the alternative representation (33), more advantageous when we pass form $su(2)$ to $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$. The operator J_x admits the decomposition

$$J_x = K^+\sum_{k=-j}^{j} k\,|j;k\rangle\langle j;k|K = \sum_{k=-j}^{j} k\,|\mathfrak{K}_{-k}\rangle\langle\mathfrak{K}_{-k}|.$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\beta J_x} &= \sum_{k=-j}^{j} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\beta k}\,|\mathfrak{K}_{-k}\rangle\langle\mathfrak{K}_{-k}| = \sum_{k=-j}^{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta k}\,|\mathfrak{K}_{k}\rangle\langle\mathfrak{K}_{k}| \\ &= \sum_{m,n=-j}^{j}\sum_{k=-j}^{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta k}\,\mathfrak{K}_k(m)\,\mathfrak{K}_k(n)\,|j;m\rangle\langle j;n|. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of the representation of $SU(2)$ in $\ell^2[-j, j]$, the element with Euler angles α, β, γ corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\alpha J_z}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\beta J_x}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\gamma J_z} = &\sum_{m,n=-j}^{j} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\alpha m+\gamma n)} \\ &\times \sum_{k=-j}^{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta k}\,\mathfrak{K}_m(k)\,\mathfrak{K}_n(k)\,|j;m\rangle\langle j;n|. \end{aligned}$$

We think that, in certain applications, our description of the linear representations of $su(2)$ and $SU(2)$ is more advantageous than other known descriptions [9, 10, 13, 14]. The *spin coherent states* [7, 11], that is, the orbit of $SU(2)$ passing through $|j;-j\rangle$, is formed by the states

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha,\beta\rangle &= \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\alpha J_z}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\beta J_x}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\gamma J_z}|j;-j\rangle \\ &= \tfrac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}j\gamma}}{2^j}\sum_{m=-j}^{j} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\alpha m}\sum_{k=-j}^{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta k}\,\sqrt{C_{2j}^{j+k}}\;\mathfrak{K}_m(k)\,|j;m\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, we can neglect the unimodular factor $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}j\gamma}$.

QUANTUM SYSTEMS WITH FINITE DIMENSIONAL HILBERT SPACE

In the continuous case, the coordinate operator $(\hat{q}\psi)(q) = q\,\psi(q)$ and the momentum operator $\hat{p} = -\mathrm{i}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q}$ satisfy the relation $\hat{p} = \mathcal{F}^+\hat{q}\mathcal{F}$, where

$$\mathcal{F}[\psi](p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}pq}\,\psi(q) \tag{37}$$

is the Fourier transform. In the finite-dimensional case, $P = F^+QF$ defined by using the finite Fourier transform, is usually regarded as a momentum operator [5, 12, 15].

By following Atakishyiev, Wolf *et al.* [1, 2, 16], we can consider $\tilde{P} = K^+QK$ as a second candidate for the role of momentum operator. In this case $[Q, \tilde{P}] = iJ_y$, and

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}Q^2 = \frac{j(j+1)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}J_y^2 \tag{38}$$

corresponds to the quantum harmonic oscillator.
The function $K|j;m\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $\tilde{H}$ for any m.

The author is grateful to J. Van der Jeugt for letting him know that the relation (16) from Theorem 1 is a special case of a known identity concerning the hypergeometric function.

* ncotfas@yahoo.com; http://fpcm5.fizica.unibuc.ro/~ncotfas/

[1] M. K. Atakishyieva, N. M. Atakishyiev, and K. B. Wolf, J. Phys: Conf. Ser. **512**, 012031 (2014).
[2] N. M. Atakishyiev and K. B. Wolf, 1997 J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **14**, 1467 (1997).
[3] L.-A. Cotfas, Physica A **392**, 371 (2013).
[4] N. Cotfas and D. Dragoman, J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor. **46**, 355301 (2013).
[5] N. Cotfas, J.-P. Gazeau and A. Vourdas, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44** 175303 (2011).
[6] A. Erdélyi, *Higher Transcedental Functions*, Vol. 1, pag 85 (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
[7] J.-P. Gazeau, *Coherent States in Quantum Physics* (Berlin: Wiley-VCH, Berlin, 2009).
[8] J. Van der Jeugt and R. Jagannathan, J. Math. Phys. **39** 5062 (1998).
[9] W. Miller, Jr., *Lie Theory and Special Functions* (Academic Press, New York, 1968).
[10] A. F. Nikiforov, S. K. Suslov and V. B. Uvarov, *Classical Orthogonal Polynomials of a Discrete Variable* (Springer, Berlin, 1991).
[11] A. M. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and their Applications* (Springer, Berlin, 1986).
[12] J. Schwinger, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **46** 570 (1960).
[13] J. D. Talman, *Special Functions. A Group Theoretical Approach* (Benjamin, New York, 1968).
[14] N. Ja. Vilenkin, *Fonctions Spéciales et Théorie de la Représentation des Groupes* (Dunod, Paris, 1969).
[15] A. Vourdas, Rep. Prog. Phys. **67** 267 (2004).
[16] K. B. Wolf and G. Krötzsch, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **24** 651 (2007).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

- The reader can directly check the relation (16) by using the program in Mathematica

```
j=10
K[m_,n_]:=Sum[(-1)^k Binomial[j+n, k] Binomial[j-n,j+m-k], {k,0,j+m}]
MatrixForm[Table[Sum[(-I)^k K[m,k] K[k,n], {k,-j,j}] - (-2)^j I^(m+n) K[m,n], {m,-j,j}, {n,-j,j}]]
```

for $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$, and the program

```
j=3/2
K[m_,n_]:=Sum[(-1)^k Binomial[j+n, k] Binomial[j-n,j+m-k], {k,0,j+m}]
N[MatrixForm[Table[Sum[(-I)^k K[m,k] K[k,n], {k,-j,j}] - (-2)^j I^(m+n) K[m,n], {m,-j,j}, {n,-j,j}]]]
```

for $j \in \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, ...\right\}$.

- By using the program

```
j = 10
KP[m_, n_] :=  Sum[(-1)^k Binomial[j + n, k] Binomial[j - n, j + m - k], {k, 0,  j + m}]
KF[m_, n_] := (1/2^j) Sqrt[Binomial[2 j, j + n]/Binomial[2 j, j - m]] KP[m, n]
K := Table[(-1)^(2 j) I^m  KF[-m, n], {m, -j, j}, {n, -j, j}];
Jz := Table[m DiscreteDelta[m - n], {m, -j, j}, {n, -j, j}]
Jx := K.K.Jz.K
Jy := K.Jz.K.K
MatrixForm[MatrixPower[K, 3]]
MatrixForm[Jz]
MatrixForm[Jx]
MatrixForm[Jy]
MatrixForm[Jx.Jy - Jy.Jx - I Jz]
MatrixForm[Jy.Jz - Jz.Jy - I Jx]
MatrixForm[Jz.Jx - Jx.Jz - I Jy]
```

for $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$, and

```
j = 3/2
KP[m_, n_] :=  Sum[(-1)^k Binomial[j + n, k] Binomial[j - n, j + m - k], {k, 0,  j + m}]
KF[m_, n_] := (1/2^j) Sqrt[Binomial[2 j, j + n]/Binomial[2 j, j - m]] KP[m, n]
K := Table[(-1)^(2 j) I^m  KF[-m, n], {m, -j, j}, {n, -j, j}];
Jz := Table[m DiscreteDelta[m - n], {m, -j, j}, {n, -j, j}]
Jx := K.K.Jz.K
Jy := K.Jz.K.K
N[MatrixForm[MatrixPower[K, 3]]]
N[MatrixForm[Jz]]
N[MatrixForm[Jx]]
N[MatrixForm[Jy]]
N[MatrixForm[Jx.Jy - Jy.Jx - I Jz]]
N[MatrixForm[Jy.Jz - Jz.Jy - I Jx]]
N[MatrixForm[Jz.Jx - Jx.Jz - I Jy]]
```

for $j \in \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, ...\right\}$, one can directly verify the relation

$$K^3 = \mathbb{I}$$

and to see that the operators

$$J_x = K^+ Q K, \qquad J_y = K Q K^+ \quad \text{and} \quad J_z = Q$$

satisfy the relations

$$[J_x, J_y] = \mathrm{i} J_z, \quad [J_y, J_z] = \mathrm{i} J_x, \quad [J_z, J_x] = \mathrm{i} J_y.$$

- It is known that some identities for powers fail for complex numbers, no matter how complex powers are defined as single-valued functions. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\pi \mathrm{i}}{2}} \\ \mathrm{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\pi \mathrm{i}}{4}} \\ (-\mathrm{i})^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi \mathrm{i}}{4}} \end{aligned} \qquad \text{and consequently} \qquad (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \mathrm{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq (-\mathrm{i})^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\pi \mathrm{i}}{2}} = \mathrm{i} \\ 1^{\frac{1}{2}} &= 1 \end{aligned} \qquad \text{and consequently} \qquad \left(\frac{1}{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq \frac{1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

  So, we have to be careful in computations involving half-integer powers of complex non-positive numbers. In our computations involving half-integer powers of -1, i, $-\mathrm{i}$, we use:

  - the definition $z^k = |z|^k\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \arg(z)}$;
  - the identity $z^k\ z^m = z^{k+m}$ for integer as well as half-integer k and m;
  - the identities $z_1^k\ z_2^k = (z_1\, z_2)^k$ and $\frac{z_1^k}{z_2^k} = \left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)^k$ only for integer k.

- The proofs of our theorems are based on the relations:

$$(1-\mathrm{i})^{2j} = (\sqrt{2})^{2j}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2j\pi \mathrm{i}}{4}} = 2^j\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{j\pi \mathrm{i}}{2}} = 2^j\, (-\mathrm{i})^j;$$

$$(1+\mathrm{i})^{j+n}\, (1-\mathrm{i})^{j-n} = \left(\tfrac{1+\mathrm{i}}{1-\mathrm{i}}\right)^{j+n}\, (1-\mathrm{i})^{2j} = \mathrm{i}^{j+n} (1-\mathrm{i})^{2j} = 2^j\, (-\mathrm{i})^j\, \mathrm{i}^{j+n};$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{i}^{m+k}\, (-1)^{j+k}\, (-1)^{j+n} &= (-1)^{j+n}\, \mathrm{i}^{m-j}\, \mathrm{i}^{j+k}\, (-1)^{j+k} \\ &= (-1)^{j+n}\, \mathrm{i}^{m-j}\, (-\mathrm{i})^{j+k} = (-1)^{j+n}\, \mathrm{i}^{m-j}\, (-\mathrm{i})^j\, (-\mathrm{i})^k; \\ (-1)^{j+n}\, \mathrm{i}^{m-j}\, (-\mathrm{i})^j\, \mathrm{i}^{j+m}\, \mathrm{i}^{j+n} &= (-1)^{j+n}\, \mathrm{i}^{2m}\, \mathrm{i}^{j+n}\, (-\mathrm{i})^j = (-1)^{j+m+n}\, \mathrm{i}^{j+n}\, (-\mathrm{i})^j \\ &= (-1)^n\, \mathrm{i}^{j+n}\, (-\mathrm{i})^j\, (-1)^{j+m} \\ (-1)^n\, \mathrm{i}^{j+n}\, (-\mathrm{i})^j &= \tfrac{1}{(-1)^j}\, (-1)^{j+n}\, \mathrm{i}^{j+n}\, (-\mathrm{i})^j = \tfrac{1}{(-1)^j}\, (-\mathrm{i})^{j+n}\, (-\mathrm{i})^j = \tfrac{1}{(-1)^j}\, (-\mathrm{i})^{2j}\, (-\mathrm{i})^n \\ &= \tfrac{(-1)^j}{(-1)^{2j}}\, (-\mathrm{i})^{2j}\, (-\mathrm{i})^n = (-1)^j\, \tfrac{(-\mathrm{i})^{2j}}{(-1)^{2j}}\, (-\mathrm{i})^n = (-1)^j\, \left(\tfrac{-\mathrm{i}}{-1}\right)^{2j}\, (-\mathrm{i})^n = (-1)^{2j}\, (-\mathrm{i})^n. \end{aligned}$$